

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

**Patent and Trademark Office** 

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/493,004

01/28/00 \

D

P-1592-US1

TM02/1222

EITAN, PEARL, LATZEF & COHEN-ZEDEK ONE CRYSTAL PARK 2011 CRYSTAL DRIVE SUITE 210 ARLINGTON VA 22202-3709 CHUNG, P

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

**EXAMINER** 

2133

DATE MAILED:

12/22/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

|   | Application No. Applicant(s)   |
|---|--|
| Office Action Summary   | 09/493,000/ Yellin   |
|   | Examiner Chang Group Art Unit  |
| —The MAILING DATE of this communication appear  | rs on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—  |
| Period for Response   | ·  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS S<br>MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.   | SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE  |
| from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by def                            | .136(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTH-<br>a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered time<br>fault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.<br>by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status  |  |
| ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |  |
| ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .   |  |
| <ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except<br/>accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193</li> </ul>   | for formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is closed</b> in 5 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.   |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |
| $\mathbf{SClaim}(\mathbf{s}) = 1 - 32$  | is/are pending in the application.   |
| Of the above claim(s)   | is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |
| □ Claim(s)  | is/are allowed.  |
| 5-Claim(s) 1-32   | is/are rejected.   |
| □ Claim(s)  |  |
| • •   | are subject to restriction or election   |
| Application Papers  | requirement.   |
| ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing  | g Review, PTO-948.   |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on   | is □ approved □ disapproved.   |
| ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object   | ted to by the Examiner.  |
| ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |  |
| ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  |  |
| <ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number</li> </ul> | er)  |
|   |  |
| <ul> <li>received in this national stage application from the Interest</li> </ul>   |  |
| □ received in this national stage application from the Inte   |  |
| •   |  |
| *Certified copies not received:   | ·  |
| *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)   |  |

\*\*\*

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97) Application/Control Number: 09/493,004 Page 2

Art Unit: 2133

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-32 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,047,035.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the original independent claims of the patent now had been divided up into multiple claims as recited in the instant application, for example, claim 1 of the patent is the same as claims 1-4 and 28 of the instant application; claims 2-10 of the patent is now the same as claims 5-13 of the instant application; claim 11 of the patent is now the same as claims 12-13 of the instant application is now the same as claims 16-17 of the instant application; claim 14 of the

Application/Control Number: 09/493,004

Art Unit: 2133

patent is now the same as claims 18-19 of the instant application; claims 15-16 of the patent is now the same as claims 20-21 of the instant application; claim 17 of the patent is now the same as claims 22 and 26 of the instant application; and claim 18 is now the same as claim 27 of the instant application.

- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phung Chung whose telephone number is (703) 305-9686. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

PHUNG M. CHUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 3